

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMB	ER FILING	DATE	FIRST NAM	ED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/120,105 0	9/10/93	WINTER		Α	HOE	92F294
		15M2/04	18	SECO	URO JR,C	EXAMINER
JOHN M. GENOVA HOECHST CELANE						•
86 MORRIS AVEN	SE CURPUR VE	RATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUMMIT, NJ 07	901			15	12	
This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS				DATE MAIL長年:/18/94		
☐ This application has	been examined	☐ Responsive	to communicat	ion filed on	□	This action is made final.
A shortened statutory pe	riod for response	to this action is set to	expire	month(s)	30 da	ys from the date of this letter.
Failure to respond within	the period for re	sponse will cause the ap	pplication to be	come abandoned.	35 U.S.C. 133	ys nom the date of this letter.
Part I THE FOLLOW	ING ATTACHME	NT(S) ARE PART OF T	THIS ACTION:			•
1. Notice of Refe	rences Cited by	Examiner PTO-802		Notice re Pat	ent Drawing DTA	.040
3. LI Notice of Art (Cited by Applicar	it. PTO-1449.		Notice of Info	rmal Patent Appli	-948. cation, Form PTO-152.
- Information of	I HOW TO ETIECT D	rawing Changes, PTO-1	1474. 6.	□		
Part II SUMMARY O	FACTION					
1. Claims 1	3,6-8	and 11-15	·			are pending in the application.
Of the a	bove, claims _					rithdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims						have been cancelled.
3. Claims						
4. Claims		•				are allowed.
5.						
6. X Claims 1-3	1-8 an	حب اللم				
	•			are sub	oject to restriction	or election requirement.
7. Li This application	has been filed w	ith informal drawings ur	nder 37 C.F.R.	1.85 which are acc	eptable for exami	nation purposes.
8. Formal drawing	s are required in	response to this Office a	action.			
9. The corrected o are accept	r substitute draw able. D not acc	ings have been received eptable (see explanation	d on n or Notice re F	Patent Drawing, PT	Under 37 C.F.R O-948).	l. 1.84 these drawings
10. The proposed as	dditional or subst		as filed on			approved by the
11. The proposed di	awing correction	, filed on	, has be	en 🗌 approved.	disapproved	d (see explanation).
12. Acknowledgmen	t is made of the o	laim for priority under L	U.S.C. 119. The	certified copy has	D been receive	od [] anthonomic .
☐ been filed in	parent application	n, serial no		; filed on		. not been received
13. Since this applica	ation appears to		AUCE except to	r formal		
14. T ON-11						

Serial No. 120,105 Art Unit 1512

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 1-3, 13 and 14, drawn to a bimodal MP° polyolefin composition, method of use in molding and molding thereof, classified in Class 525, subclass 240.
- II. Claim 11, drawn to a process for preparing the composition of group I by mixing separate polyolefins having different MP°s, classified in Class 525, subclass 197.
- III. Claims 6-8, 12 and 15, drawn to a process for preparing the product of Groups I by direct polymerization, classified in Class 525, subclass 322+ and class 526.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and (II and III) are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(f)). In the instant case that product can be made by different processes is evident from different group II and group III processes as claimed herein.

Serial No. 120,105

Art Unit 1512

Also it is not clear that the product could not be obtained other than by using the met allocene catalyst recited in Group III.

The Group III process is considered independent from the Group II process.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Seccuro/maj April 03, 1994 CARMAN J. SECCURO, JR.
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 150